

JAN. 13. 2006 1:10PM

16509618301

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 185 P. 1

JAN 13 2006

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040
Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301
www.beyerlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

January 13, 2006

Receiver: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TEL #:

FAX #: (571) 273-8300

Sender: Susan W. Xu for Justin A. White

Our Ref. No.: IGT1P102

Re: Application No. 10/605,574

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 03

MESSAGE:

Please file the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request for the above-referenced patent application.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: PAULSEN, et al.
 Application No.: 10/605,574
 Filed: October 9, 2003
 Title: UNIVERSAL KEY SECURITY
 METHOD AND SYSTEM

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P102
 Examiner: Vernal U. Brown
 Group: 2635
 Confirmation No.: 2573

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by
 facsimile to fax number 571-273-8300 of the U.S. Patent and
 Trademark Office on January 13, 2006.

Signed: Susan W. Xu
 Susan W. Xu

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

- | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 1) Justin A. White | 2) Keith D. Moore, IGT |
| 3) Examiner Brown | 4) Supervisory Examiner? |

Proposed Date of Interview: 01/17/2006

Proposed Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview Requested:

Telephone Personal Video Conference

Exhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) §112 rejections	1-10, 37	none	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) §102 rejections	24-25, 28-30	Lerchner	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) §103 rejections	1-23, 26-27, 31-47	Lerchner, LeMay, Bradford, Gokcebay, Gatto	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

JAN. 13. 2006 1:10PM

16509618301

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 185 P. 3

JAN 19 2006

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

- 1a) An electromechanical item is still "mechanical," such that use of this broader term is proper. It is not necessary for Applicants to include every detail of an invention in a claim.
- 1b) "Any other step" refers to any other step in the claim.
- 2) Lerchner does not teach a "second source of indicia with respect to the user of the mechanical key," at least in the sense that Applicants are claiming.
- 3a) Lerchner does not teach PIN information stored in the key, as stated in the Office Action.
- 3b) Bradford does not teach embedding biometric identification information in a key, as stated in the Office Action. An RFID tag in a key fob is not the same as or similar to biometric information in a key. Further, neither Bradford nor any of the recited prior art teaches or suggests putting a biometric reading device on a mechanical key.
- 3c) Appropriate motivations to combine references have not been provided, and many of the recited references are from non-analogous arts.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)
Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

IGT1P102

2 of 2